SUBJECT No. 25.

Conducting *Jamabandi* in *Sarvamanya*, *Jodi* and other villages as in Government villages.

Messrs. V. Shama Iyengar, N. Puttanna, T.-Narsipur Taluk; H. Varadaiya, T.-Narsipur Municipality and P. R. Lakshminaranappa, Mugur Municipality.

Mr. V. Shamaiengar.—The condition of people in *Sarvamanya* and other *Inam* villages is very deplorable. The *Inamdar* follows closely the footsteps of Government in the matter of collecting taxes and cesses, but not in creating facilities to the people by giving them roads, schools, etc. No attention is paid to the transfer of *Pattas* and *Jamabandis* are not at all conducted. It is very desirable that the *Inamdars* should be made t responsible for village improvement and other works, as they collect all the taxes and cesses collected in Government villages.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastry.—Much inconvenience is experienced in paying the taxes to the *Inamdars* as there will be a number of *Hakdars* and *Vrittidars*. It is very desirable that they should be compelled to hold *Jamabandi* annually so that the *Inamdars* and the ryots may come into touch with each other. The repairs of *bandhs* are not promptly attended to and important records such as the *pahani* and the *khaneshmari* are not properly maintained, with the result that people are compelled to go to Civil Courts to settle their dispute. Special attention may be directed to this matter when the Inam Committee report is considered by Government.

Mr. Karnik Krishna Murthy Rao.—*Inamdars* collect cesses on behalf of Government as their agents. Since all the cesses are taken by Government, Government should give facilities to people living in Inam villages in the same way as they do in Government villages. As there is some difference of opinion about the utility of the annual *Jamabandi* in Government villages, it is not known if it would be of much use in *Inam* villages where the *Inamdars* have not got power to dispose of *takarar thakthas*, levy fines for encroachment etc, like the Government *Jamabandi* officers.

Mr. B. Srinivasiengar.—Some reference was made to the effect that transfer of *pattas* was not regularly attended to by *Inamdars*. There is provision in the Land Revenue Code to compel *Inamdars* to give *pattas*. It is not possible for the *Inamdar* to attend to sanitation and other works as he is not invested with powers of prosecution. As regards the repairs of *bandhs*, it is well known that the responsibility lies on the ryot and not on the *Inamdar*. As regards village improvement work, the *Inamdars* will be glad to do what lies in their power if people come forward with contributions as in Government villages. As it is, the *Inamdar* has

no power to redress these grievances as he is practically nothing more than a large land holder.

Mr. Shamiengar.—I only wish to bring to the notice of Government that all the cesses collected by the inamdars are not handed over to Government, but only a small fraction of them.

Dewan.—Will be considered with the report of the Inam Commission.

SUBJECT No. 26.

Introduction of a form for the collection of *Kandayam* by the Patel without the aid of the Shanbhog.

Messrs.—V. Bandiah and Lakshmikanta Bhattar, Nanjangud Taluk.

Mr. Lakshmikanta Bhatta —At present, Patels are only furnished with *baki patties* to enable them to collect land revenue. It will facilitate revenue collection if some sort of account is also furnished to them so that they may collect revenue without delay even in the absence of the Shanbhog.

Mr. Shamiengar.—The inconvenience complained of will gradually disappear if all the Patels are literate.

Mr. Hutchviregowda.—At least a duplicate copy of the *khate* may be given to the Patel.

Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya.—The *baki patti* furnishes all the details necessary for the collection of land revenue and no additional account with the Patel appears to be necessary.

Revenue Commissioner.—If the Patels are literate, they can realise *Kandayam* with the aid of the *Baki Patti* given by Shanbhogs and grant receipts. Otherwise, there is no use of introducing a form.

Dewan.—No change is necessary.

SUBJECT No. 27.

Licensing the breeding of goats.

Mr. G. Murigappa, Gubbi Taluk.

Mr. G. Murigappa.—As trees on the roadside are destroyed by goat owners, it is very desirable to insist upon their obtaining licenses to rear goats.

Mr. Thimmaiya.—Goats are reared mostly by very poor people. Much inconvenience will be caused if they are made to obtain licenses. And the price of goats which is already high will still go up.

Mr. Vema Reddy.—No license is necessary. The cattle pounds may be utilised in case there is a trespass by these animals.

Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya.—Monkeys and other wild animals destroy valuable crop. If we go on insisting on licenses in all cases, it causes needless trouble.

Mr. V. Shamiengar.—The rate of fine at present fixed for goats in cattle pounds is very low. If the